♦ ♦ ♦ LOS ANGELES TIMES® POLL ALERT ♦ ♦ ♦

HOW THE POLL WAS CONDUCTED

The Times Poll contacted 798 registered voters in the city of Los Angeles, by telephone March 9-12. There were 237 San Fernando Valley registered voters. Telephone numbers were chosen from a list of all exchanges in the city of Los Angeles. Random-digit dialing techniques were used so that listed and unlisted numbers could be contacted. The entire sample was weighted slightly to conform with census figures for sex, race, age, education and area of city. The margin of sampling error for registered voters is plus or minus 4 percentage points; for the San Fernando Valley voters it is 6 points. For certain subgroups the error margin may be somewhat higher. Poll results can also be affected by other factors such as question wording and the order in which questions are presented. Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish. Asians were interviewed as part of the entire sample, but there were not enough to break out as a separate subgroup.

SELECTED RESULTS FROM THE TIMES POLL CITY OF LOS ANGELES SURVEY March 9–12, 2002

(A full summary of results will be available at a later date through the Los Angeles Times Poll)

GUIDE TO COLUMN HEADINGS

All	All registered voters in the city of Los Angeles
WES	Westside registered voters
SFV	San Fernando Valley registered voters
CEN	Central city registered voters (between Beverly Hills
	& Pasadena)
Sou	South city registered voters (Harbor corridor to
	San Pedro)
W_{HT}	White registered voters
BLK	Black registered voters
Lat	Latino registered voters

(AMONG REGISTERED VOTERS)

Q22: As you may have heard, there has been talk of the San Fernando Valley breaking away or seceding from the city of Los Angeles and becoming its own city. Taking everything into consideration, do you favor or oppose Valley secession from the city of Los Angeles? (IF FAVOR OR OPPOSE) Do you (favor/oppose) strongly or (favor/oppose) only somewhat?

	— CITY OF LOS ANGELES —				
	\underline{ALL}	$\underline{\text{Wes}}$	<u>SFV</u>	<u>Cen</u>	<u>Sou</u>
Favor (Net)	<u>46</u> %	<u>37</u> %	<u>55</u> %	<u>39</u> %	<u>48</u> %
Favor strongly	24	17	42	7	18
Favor somewhat	23	20	13	32	30
Oppose (Net)	<u>38</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>35</u>
Oppose somewhat	11	11	12	12	8
Oppose strongly	27	29	24	32	27
Don't know	<u>16</u>	<u>23</u>	9	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>

	 CITY OF LOS ANGELES – 			
	$\underline{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{HT}}}$	BLK	<u>Lat</u>	
Favor (Net)	<u>47</u> %	<u>33</u> %	<u>52</u> %	
Favor strongly	27	17	23	
Favor somewhat	20	16	28	
Oppose (Net)	<u>39</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>29</u>	
Oppose somewhat	14	9	7	
Oppose strongly	25	38	23	
Don't know	<u>14</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>19</u>	

	— SAN I EKNANDO VALLET —			
	ALL SFV	EAST SFV	WEST SFV	
Favor (Net)	<u>55</u> %	<u>46</u> %	<u>62</u> %	
Favor strongly	42	31	51	
Favor somewhat	13	15	11	
Oppose (Net)	<u>36</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>33</u>	
Oppose somewhat	12	15	11	
Oppose strongly	24	25	22	
Don't know	<u>9</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>5</u>	

- SAN FERNANDO VALLEY .

Tables compiled by RAY ENSLOW