

# Los Angeles Times / Bloomberg

---

## Public Sees Stalemate in Iraq, Support Path To Citizenship For Undocumented Workers

*Bush Job Ratings At Or Near All Time Lows*

**A**mid a frustrating sense of stand-off in Iraq, a bipartisan majority wants to link a continued U.S. military presence in that country to setting benchmarks for Iraqi progress, according to the latest Los Angeles Times / Bloomberg poll. Support for setting a timetable for troop withdrawal, while high overall, splits along the same partisan lines that divide Congress and the administration. A majority overall would like troops to come home within the next year.

The survey found widespread belief that illegal immigrants mostly take jobs that Americans do not want, and across the board public support for a congressional proposal for a path to citizenship that is at the center of a bill currently stalled by lawmakers in Congress. The proposal would allow illegal residents already residing in the U.S. and who meet certain criteria to apply to become legal residents. The immigration bill, which also includes proposals for a guest worker program and setting up a new point system based on employability, among other things, was drafted by a bipartisan Congressional committee and backed by President Bush. The bill was brought to a standstill by those – mostly on the political right - who adamantly oppose a citizenship program as "amnesty".

President Bush's efforts to bring his party into line on the immigration bill may be hampered by his continued low job approval ratings – this survey found him at or near all time lows on his overall approval, as well as on the economy and Iraq.

While the proportion who think the economy is doing well has dropped since its height in late 2006, it remains fairly high. Most say the economy is doing well, are optimistic about home prices either staying the same or increasing, and feel they are doing as well or better financially than they were three years ago. However, most have expressed a jaundiced view of business leaders – seeing CEOs as overpaid and largely unethical in their business practices.

### Presidential Job Approvals

The public continues to give George W. Bush historically low marks for how he handles his job as president, as well as on the economy and especially the Iraq war. Fewer than one in four think the country is generally going in the right direction, while 69% - including a 51% majority of Republicans - say it is off on the wrong track. This is up eight points from January. As has been found in previous polls, Republicans are the most optimistic but even among that group a majority now think the country is moving in the wrong direction, with only 43% saying the country is going the right way. This is a sharp drop from the 61% of Republicans who felt that way last September.

*Do you think things in this country are generally going in the right direction or are they seriously off on the wrong track? Current poll compared to September 2006.*

<b>(Among Republicans)</b>	<u>6/07</u>	<u>9/06</u>
Right Direction	43	61
Wrong Track	51	31

*source: Los Angeles Times/Bloomberg Polls*

Bush's approval numbers remain little changed from those found in a L.A. Times/Bloomberg poll last April, but they indicate an overall trend of decline. The strength of discontent in the country with the president is very high – the proportion of those who *strongly* disapprove of his job as President is – at 44% - nearly three times greater than the proportion of those who *strongly* approve.

*Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling ...?*  
(among all adults)

	<u>6/07</u>	<u>4/07</u>	<u>1/07</u>	<u>9/06</u>	<u>8/06</u>	<u>6/06</u>	<u>1/06</u>	<u>1/05</u>	<u>3/04</u>
<i>...his job as president</i>									
Approve	34	36	39	45	40	41	43	50	51
Disapprove	62	62	59	52	58	56	54	47	54
<i>...the economy</i>									
Approve	38	38	45	43	38	39	37	49	43
Disapprove	58	57	49	51	59	54	59	46	53
<i>...Iraq</i>									
Approve	31	33	33	40	38	40	41	42	49
Disapprove	67	65	65	56	60	56	56	54	46

*source: Los Angeles Times/Bloomberg and L.A. Times Polls*

Bush has seen the biggest decline in his overall job rating among the core of his own party - Republicans and conservatives. His approval has dropped thirteen points among those who identify with the GOP, falling from 83% last September to 70% today, while those who disapprove have correspondingly increased from 13% to nearly a quarter today. Among independents and Democrats, approval dropped 9 points - from 38% to 29% today for independents and 19% to 10% for Democrats.

*Bush job approval among Republicans compared to September 2006.*

<b>(Among Republicans)</b>	<u>6/07</u>	<u>9/06</u>
<u>Approve (net)</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>83</u>
Approve Strongly	36	52
Approve Somewhat	34	31
<u>Disapprove (net)</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>13</u>
Disapprove Somewhat	12	7
Disapprove Strongly	12	6

*source: Los Angeles Times/Bloomberg Polls*

## Iraq War

The survey found that the American public continues to view the war in Iraq as a stalemate, with neither the U.S. nor the insurgents gaining the upper hand. Nearly two out of 10 nationwide said the U.S. is winning the war in Iraq while slightly fewer - 13% - see the insurgents as winning. Almost two-thirds feel neither side is on top. Optimism about the course of the war in Iraq is low, even among Republicans and conservatives, who are the most optimistic of all... Republicans picked the U.S. over the insurgents by 34% to 4% and conservatives by 31% to 9%, but even among those groups, a 54% majority of each said the war is a draw so far.

*So far, do you think the U.S. is winning the war in Iraq, or do you think the anti-U.S. insurgents in Iraq are winning the war, or is neither side winning the war in Iraq?*  
(among all adults)

	<u>6/07</u>	<u>1/07</u>	<u>12/06</u>	<u>9/06</u>	<u>1/06</u>	<u>1/05</u>	<u>11/03</u>
U.S.	18	16	15	22	33	29	37
Insurgents	13	15	14	11	7	10	4
Neither	64	66	66	63	55	58	52
Don't Know	4	3	5	4	4	2	6

source: Los Angeles Times/Bloomberg and L.A. Times Polls

### *Timetables and Benchmarks*

There is bipartisan support for tying a continued U.S. military presence in Iraq to benchmarks and goals for reform, however, political divisions intrude when it comes to setting a timetable for withdrawal. That issue became especially contentious while congressional Democrats and President Bush disagreed over whether a war funding bill should contain timetables for withdrawal of troops. Eventually Bush prevailed and the bill was passed and signed without any timetables.

While overall support for a timed withdrawal of troops was higher than in any previous Times survey, this subject divides respondents sharply along political lines. Nearly three out of five - 57% - said they support laying out a schedule for bringing troops home from Iraq, including 42% who said they support it strongly. However, majority support is found only among Democrats and independents - at 82% and 60% respectively - while three times as many Republicans oppose the idea as support it - 73% to 24%. Conservative Republicans are the least likely to support setting a timetable - 81% are opposed, including 71% who are *strongly* opposed. More moderate Republicans oppose the idea by a much narrower margin of 53% to 46%.

There is strong support for setting benchmarks for reforms in Iraq that would be followed by U.S. troop withdrawal if the Iraqi government failed to meet those goals. Sixty-four percent overall said they would support such a plan, including 39% who feel strongly about it. Twenty-five percent were opposed, including 12% who were strongly opposed. Support crosses party lines - about six out of 10 Republicans would like to see the U.S. set goals for Iraq, along with 74% of Democrats and 62% of independents.

Whether a timetable should be set for withdrawals or not, a 68% majority - including four out of 10 Republicans - would like to see troops begin coming home within the next year or sooner. A quarter overall want troop withdrawal to commence immediately, and another 43% want it to begin within the year. Only 26% said that troops should stay in Iraq "as long as it takes". The most conservative wing of the Republican party is again the exception - nearly two-thirds think troops should stay in Iraq as long as it takes to win the war while a 52% majority of more moderate party members would like to see troop withdrawal begin within the next year, and another nearly two in 10 moderate Republicans would like to see them come home right away.

This finding comes at a time when the Bush administration has been discussing a long-term American presence in Iraq along the lines of the 57 year U.S. military presence in South Korea. The survey found discontent among the general public with Bush's leadership on Iraq – at 31% his approval rating in that area is the lowest yet and while it is similar to his rating on Iraq from last April, it has dropped nine points from 40% in a Times/Bloomberg Poll last September.

## **Illegal Immigration**

Nearly nine out of 10 nationwide see immigration as either the most important problem (31%) or an important one (55%) facing the country today. Forty percent of conservatives, 37% of Republicans and 37% of men said they consider illegal immigration to be the most important problem.

However, by more than two to one, a 56% majority think illegal immigrants do work that Americans disdain rather than taking jobs away from Americans who need them. Just over one in four said undocumented workers take needed jobs, and not surprisingly, that group sees illegal immigration as a big problem. Just under half of those who think they take jobs from Americans felt that illegal immigration is the most important problem facing the country today. On the other hand, among the 56% majority who think that illegal immigrants do work that American workers won't do, only 22% said immigration is the most important problem facing the country.

This survey, like previous surveys done by the Times Poll and other organizations, found the public in a much more forgiving mood toward illegal immigrants than are some Congressional leaders. Conservative lawmakers in Congress broke with President Bush, who backs the bill that as of this writing is stalemated in Congress after being attacked by GOP conservatives as promoting "amnesty". The poll tested a few of the ideas and programs that were part of that bill.

It found bipartisan support for an approach to illegal immigration that includes a path to citizenship for certain illegal residents. By 63% to 23% the public supports allowing undocumented immigrants without a criminal record who have been living in the U.S. for years to start on a path to citizenship, after registering, paying a fine, getting fingerprinted, and learning English, among other requirements. Majorities of Democrats (66%), independents (66%) and Republicans (65%) agree.

Another proposal would establish a guest worker program and provide non-citizens with a temporary work visa. That proposal received slightly less public support than the path to citizenship, but still, more supported (49%) than opposed (26%) the idea while 25% weren't sure. Slim majorities of Democrats (51%) and independents (52%) expressed support along with a 47% plurality of Republicans.

The public is more wary, or at least needs to know more about yet another program that gives more weight to immigrants who have professional qualifications and a good command of English than to those who have family already in the United States. A plurality of 43% said they weren't sure yet about their support for a system so different from the one that is in place now. Among conservatives and Republicans, support for the proposal climbed to over four in 10, while Democrats were split, and independents narrowly support it at 33% to 24%.

## Economy

In the early spring of this year, amid a mixed economic environment that included a housing slowdown and a run up in gas prices, public economic optimism took a decided hit. This followed a period of increasing economic optimism throughout 2006 that reached a high point in January of this year. The proportion of those who said the economy is doing well dropped eleven points between January and April, and this survey found that despite a few months of high gas prices in between, public optimism has leveled off and remains virtually unchanged today at 57% who say it is doing well and 41% badly.

*Generally speaking, do you think the nation's economy these days is doing very well, or fairly well, or fairly badly, or very badly?* (categories shown combine the very/fairly categories, "don't know" left out)

	6/07	4/07	1/07	12/06	9/06	6/06	1/06	1/05	3/04	4/03
Well	57	57	68	61	54	50	55	60	49	50
Badly	41	42	31	38	44	47	44	39	49	47

*sources: Los Angeles Times/Bloomberg and L.A. Times Polls*

Bush's marks on the economy – at 38% approval to 58% disapproval – are hovering near an all-time low, with 83% of Democrats, 66% of independents, and 19% of Republicans giving him a thumbs-down.

However, by a slim margin of 35% to 26%, the public said they are better off financially now than they were three years ago, with the rest – 38% - saying that their financial picture remains the same. Not surprisingly, those in the highest economic brackets are the most satisfied. A 55% majority of those in households making more than \$100,000 a year said that they are better off and only 15% said they are worse off. In sharp contrast are households making less than \$40,000 a year, only 22% of whom indicated that they are better off now than they were, while roughly two out of five said they are worse off and a similar proportion said they remain in the same financial condition as three years ago.

### *Gasoline Prices*

When given a list of possible causes of higher gas prices to choose from, a plurality of the public (38%) chose the U.S. oil industry as the main culprit for gasoline price increases over the past year. The Bush administration came in for its share of the blame at 21%. Roughly one in ten each blamed market forces (12%) and the oil exporting countries (10%). Five percent blamed EPA regulations. Politics plays some role in this – 36% of self-described Democrats blamed the Bush administration, compared to 19% of independents and 7% of Republicans. A third of Democrats named "Big Oil", compared to 45% of independents and 39% of Republicans. Republicans (19%) along with those living in the most affluent households (24%) tended to point to the unseen hand of market forces.

### *Home Prices*

The public remains more optimistic than pessimistic about home prices – a 56% majority said that home prices will remain the same over the next six months. The rest are 10 points more inclined toward optimism than pessimism – 26% predict increases and 15% decreases in the near future. This is only a slightly less optimistic outlook than the Times Poll found last April – at that time twice as many thought prices would increase (32%) as decrease (16%) while 51% said it would remain the same.

## *Business Leaders*

The public overwhelmingly agrees that CEO pay is too high and a strong majority see them as unethical in their business practices. More than eight in 10, including large majorities across all party, ideological, and financial lines said that they are compensated too much. Fourteen percent overall said they are paid the right amount, and 1% (maybe CEOs?) said that they are paid too little.

Sixty-one percent doubted the ethics of CEO business practices, including 18% who said that business leaders are not ethical at all. Those in households making more than \$100,000 a year were more split over business leader ethics – 47% said they are mostly ethical, while 53% disagreed. Republicans were most likely to give CEOs the benefit of the doubt – 50% said they are mostly ethical compared to 45% who disagree.

*-Analysis by Jill Darling*

**Results from the Los Angeles Times/Bloomberg Poll  
June 7-10, 2007 (Iraq, economy and illegal immigration)**

## Guide to Column Headings

ALL	All adults
REG	Registered voters
LIB	Self-described liberals
MOD	Self-described moderates
CON	Self-described conservatives
DEM	Self-described Democrats
IND	Self-described Independents
REP	Self-described Republicans
MEN	Males
WOM	Females

### Economy questions

<40K	Respondents with annual household income of <i>less</i> than \$40K
40-59K	Respondents with annual household income between \$40K to \$59K
60-100K	Respondents with annual household income between \$60K to \$100K
>100K	Respondents with annual household income of <i>more</i> than \$100K

Note:

- (vol.) indicates a volunteered response
- ‘-’ indicates of less than 0.5%
- Poll results can also be found on our website: [www.latimes.com/timespoll](http://www.latimes.com/timespoll)

Q1. Do you think things in this country are generally going in the right direction or are they seriously off on the wrong track?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Right direction	<b>24</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>21</b>
Wrong track	<b>69</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>72</b>
Don't know	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>

	<u>&lt;40K</u>	<u>40-59K</u>	<u>60-100K</u>	<u>&gt;100K</u>
Right direction	<b>26</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>29</b>
Wrong track	<b>66</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>68</b>
Don't know	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>

Q2. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE)  
Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or do you (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Approve (net)	<u>34</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>32</u>
Approve strongly	15	16	8	6	27	4	9	36	14	16
Approve somewhat	19	19	8	17	27	6	21	35	22	16
Disapprove (net)	<u>62</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>63</u>
Disapprove somewhat	18	18	16	19	17	18	22	12	16	20
Disapprove strongly	44	44	63	54	25	70	44	12	46	43
Don't know	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>

	<u>&lt;40K</u>	<u>40-59K</u>	<u>60-100K</u>	<u>&gt;100K</u>
Approve (net)	<u>29</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>36</u>
Approve strongly	13	20	17	13
Approve somewhat	15	16	22	23
Disapprove (net)	<u>65</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>62</u>
Disapprove somewhat	16	22	16	15
Disapprove strongly	49	41	42	47
D/know (net)	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>

(ORDER OF NEXT TWO QUESTIONS IS ROTATED)

Q3. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling the economy? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or do you (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Approve (net)	<u>38</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>33</u>
Approve strongly	21	22	5	9	40	4	13	50	26	17
Approve somewhat	17	16	13	14	23	10	16	27	17	17
Disapprove (net)	<u>58</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>61</u>
Disapprove somewhat	19	18	17	29	11	19	27	8	19	18
Disapprove strongly	39	40	59	43	24	64	38	12	35	43
Don't know	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>

	<u>&lt;40K</u>	<u>40-59K</u>	<u>60-100K</u>	<u>&gt;100K</u>
Approve (net)	<u>32</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>45</u>
Approve strongly	16	22	24	27
Approve somewhat	16	20	19	18
Disapprove (net)	<u>65</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>52</u>
Disapprove somewhat	15	23	19	16
Disapprove strongly	49	30	34	36
Don't know	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>



Q4. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling the situation in Iraq? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE)  
Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or do you (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Approve (net)	<u>31</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>27</u>
Approve strongly	16	15	7	9	27	5	13	33	20	12
Approve somewhat	15	16	4	15	23	4	13	34	16	14
Disapprove (net)	<u>67</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>71</u>
Disapprove somewhat	11	11	10	11	11	6	15	10	10	12
Disapprove strongly	56	56	75	64	37	84	56	20	52	59
Don't know	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>

#### On economy...

Q9. Generally speaking, do you think the nation's economy these days is doing very well, or fairly well, or fairly badly, or very badly?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Well (net)	<u>57</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>49</u>
Very well	13	14	3	5	25	4	7	32	18	9
Fairly well	44	43	39	48	47	39	45	50	50	40
Badly (net)	<u>41</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>49</u>
Fairly badly	24	24	37	29	11	32	27	8	18	29
Very badly	17	17	18	17	15	23	18	9	13	20
Don't know	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>

	<u>&lt;40K</u>	<u>40-59K</u>	<u>60-100K</u>	<u>&gt;100K</u>
Well (net)	<u>52</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>68</u>
Very well	10	11	15	18
Fairly well	42	47	46	50
Badly (net)	<u>46</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>30</u>
Fairly badly	25	22	26	22
Very badly	21	20	11	8
Don't know	<u>2</u>	—	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>

Q10. Six months from now, do you expect housing values to increase in your neighborhood, or to decrease, or do you think housing values in your neighborhood will remain about the same?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Increase	26	26	25	24	30	29	20	27	24	28
Decrease	15	15	21	14	11	18	19	9	18	13
Remain about the same	56	56	47	60	58	50	56	63	57	55
Don't know	3	3	7	2	1	3	5	1	1	4

	<u>&lt;40K</u>	<u>40-59K</u>	<u>60-100K</u>	<u>&gt;100K</u>
Increase	31	21	23	30
Decrease	15	15	18	20
Remain about the same	48	63	59	50
Don't know	6	1	—	—

Q11. Would you say that you are better off or worse off financially than you were three years ago, or would you say that you are about the same financially as you were then? (IF BETTER OR WORSE OFF) Would you say you much (better off/worse off) or only somewhat (better off/worse off)?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Better (net)	<u>35</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>32</u>
Much better off	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>16</u>
Somewhat better off	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>16</u>
Worse (net)	<u>26</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>28</u>
Somewhat worse off	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>18</u>
Much worse off	<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>
Same	<u>38</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>40</u>
Don't know	<u>1</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	—	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—

	<u>&lt;40K</u>	<u>40-59K</u>	<u>60-100K</u>	<u>&gt;100K</u>
Better (net)	<u>22</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>55</u>
Much better off	<u>11</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>33</u>
Somewhat better off	<u>11</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>22</u>
Worse (net)	<u>37</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>15</u>
Somewhat worse off	<u>22</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>11</u>
Much worse off	<u>15</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>
Same	<u>41</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>30</u>
Don't know	—	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	—

#### On gas prices...

(ORDER OF RESPONSES WITHIN TEXT IS ROTATED)

Q12. As you may know, gas prices have been rising over the last year and the average price of regular gas for your car is about \$3.50 a gallon in some areas. Who or what do you think is the main reason for the higher gasoline prices these days: Is it the Bush administration, or the oil exporting countries, or the U.S. oil industry, or supply and demand, or environmental regulations, or is there another reason for the higher gasoline prices?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
U.S. oil industry (gouging, greed, profits)	<u>38</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>37</u>
Bush administration	<u>21</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>23</u>
Supply and Demand (market forces)	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>7</u>
Oil exporting countries	<u>10</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>12</u>
Environmental regulations	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>10</u>	—	<u>3</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>
Other	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
No one/no one thing in particular	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
All (vol.)	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>
Don't know	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>6</u>

-Continued on the next page.

-Continue from the previous page.

	<u>&lt;40K</u>	<u>40-59K</u>	<u>60-100K</u>	<u>&gt;100K</u>
U.S. oil industry (gouging, greed, profits)	<b>32</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>36</b>
Bush administration	<b>30</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>17</b>
Oil exporting countries	<b>12</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>
Supply and Demand (market forces)	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>24</b>
Environmental regulations	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
Other	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
No one/no one thing in particular	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1</b>
All (vol.)	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>
Don't know	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>–</b>

Q16. In general, do you think most CEO's of large American companies are compensated too much, too little, or just about the right amount?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Too much	<b>81</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>82</b>
Too little	<b>1</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Right amount	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>
Don't know	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>

	<u>&lt;40K</u>	<u>40-59K</u>	<u>60-100K</u>	<u>&gt;100K</u>
Too much	<b>76</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>80</b>
Too little	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>
Right amount	<b>18</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>20</b>
Don't know	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>–</b>

Q17. Do you think CEO's of American companies are always ethical, mostly ethical, not too ethical, or not ethical at all in their business practices?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Ethical (net)	<b>33</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>28</b>
Mostly ethical	<b>33</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>28</b>
Not ethical (net)	<b>61</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>65</b>
Not too ethical	<b>44</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>49</b>
Not ethical at all	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>17</b>
Don't know	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>

	<u>&lt;40K</u>	<u>40-59K</u>	<u>60-100K</u>	<u>&gt;100K</u>
Ethical (net)	<b>19</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>47</b>
Mostly ethical	<b>19</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>47</b>
Not ethical (net)	<b>72</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>53</b>
Not too ethical	<b>45</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>39</b>
Not ethical at all	<b>27</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>14</b>
Don't know	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>–</b>

## On the war in Iraq...

Q44. So far, do you think the U.S. is winning the war in Iraq, or do you think the anti-U.S. insurgents in Iraq are winning the war, or is neither side winning the war in Iraq?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
U.S. winning	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>15</u>
Insurgents in Iraq winning	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>13</u>
Neither U.S. nor insurgents winning	<u>64</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>67</u>
Don't know	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>

(ORDER OF NEXT TWO QUESTIONS IS ROTATED)

Q45. Do you support or oppose setting a timetable for withdrawal of U.S. troops from Iraq? (IF SUPPORT OR OPPOSE) Do you (support/oppose) this strongly, or only somewhat?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Support (net)	<u>57</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>59</u>
Strongly support	<u>42</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>42</u>
Support somewhat	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>17</u>
Oppose (net)	<u>39</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>36</u>
Oppose somewhat	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>13</u>
Oppose strongly	<u>27</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>23</u>
Don't know	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>

Q46. Do you support or oppose setting benchmarks or goals for political reforms in Iraq, and withdrawing U.S. troops if the Iraqi government fails to meet the goals? (IF SUPPORT OR OPPOSE) Do you (support/oppose) this strongly, or only somewhat?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Support (net)	<u>64</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>62</u>
Strongly support	<u>39</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>37</u>
Support somewhat	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>25</u>
Oppose (net)	<u>25</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>
Oppose somewhat	<u>13</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>13</u>
Oppose strongly	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>
Don't know	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>13</u>

Q47. In your opinion, should the United States withdraw troops from Iraq right away, or should the U.S. begin bringing troops home within the next year, or should troops stay in Iraq for as long as it takes to win the war?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Troops home right away	<u>25</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>27</u>
Begin bringing home within the next year	<u>43</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>46</u>
As long as it takes to win the war	<u>26</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>21</u>
Don't know	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>

## On illegal immigration....

Q48. Compared to other problems facing the country, how big a problem is illegal immigration? Would you say it is one of the most important problems facing the country, or is it an important problem, but not one of the most important, or is it not all that important, or is it not important at all?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Important (net)	<u>86</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>93</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>86</u>
Most important	<u>31</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>27</u>
Important, not most important	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>59</u>
Not important (net)	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>
Not that important	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>
Not important at all	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>
Don't know	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	–	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	–

Q49. Do you think illegal immigrants mostly take jobs that nobody wants, or do they mostly take jobs away from Americans who need them?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Jobs nobody wants	<u>56</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>55</u>
Jobs Americans need	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>27</u>
Both (vol)	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>
Don't know	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>8</u>

## On Congress recently debating a new immigration bill.....

(ORDER OF NEXT THREE QUESTIONS IS ROTATED)

Q50. One proposal would allow undocumented immigrants who have been living and working in the United States for a number of years, and who do not have a criminal record, to start on a path to citizenship by registering that they are in the country, paying a fine, getting fingerprinted, and learning English, among other requirements. Do you support or oppose this, or haven't you heard enough about it to say? (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE) Do you (support/oppose) it strongly, or (support/oppose) it somewhat?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Support (net)	<u>63</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>62</u>
Support strongly	<u>35</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>35</u>
Support somewhat	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>28</u>
Oppose (net)	<u>23</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>20</u>
Oppose somewhat	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>
Oppose strongly	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>14</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>14</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>18</u>
Haven't heard enough	<u>12</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>16</u>

Q51. One proposal is to create a "guest worker" program that would give a temporary visa to non-citizens who want to work legally in the United States. Do you support or oppose this, or haven't you heard enough about it to say? (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE) Do you (support/oppose) it strongly, or (support/oppose) it somewhat?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Support (net)	<u>49</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>48</u>
Support strongly	<u>24</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>24</u>
Support somewhat	<u>25</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>24</u>
Oppose (net)	<u>26</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>21</u>
Oppose somewhat	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>5</u>
Oppose strongly	<u>19</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>16</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>31</u>
Haven't heard enough	22	23	26	20	21	19	21	21	17	26

Q52. One proposal is to establish a point system for new immigrants that gives more weight to professional qualifications and command of English than to those having family already in the United States. Do you support or oppose this, or haven't you heard enough about it to say? (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE) Do you (support/oppose) it strongly, or (support/oppose) it somewhat?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>REG</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Support (net)	<u>34</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>30</u>
Support strongly	<u>19</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>16</u>
Support somewhat	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>14</u>
Oppose (net)	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>23</u>
Oppose somewhat	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>
Oppose strongly	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>43</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>47</u>
Haven't heard enough	38	38	42	43	33	36	38	37	35	41

## METHODOLOGY

The Los Angeles Times / Bloomberg Poll contacted 1,183 adults nationwide by telephone June 7 through 10, 2007, including 1,056 Registered voters. Telephone numbers were chosen randomly from a list of all exchanges in the nation, allowing listed and unlisted numbers to be contacted. Multiple attempts were made to contact each number. Adults were weighted slightly to conform with their respective census proportions by sex, ethnicity, age, education, and national region. The margin of sampling error for all adults is plus or minus 3 percentage points. For certain subgroups, the error margin may be somewhat higher. Poll results may also be affected by factors such as question wording and the order in which questions are presented.