

LOS ANGELES TIMES POLL ALERT

LA City Exit Poll
March 8, 2005

Guide to Column Headings

ALL	All voters
ALA	Richard Alarcon voters
HAH	James K. Hahn voters
HER	Bob Hertzberg voters
PAR	Bernard C. Parks voters
VIL	Antonio R. Villaraigosa voters
DEM	Democrats
IND	Independents
REP	Republicans
WHT	Whites
BLK	Blacks
LAT	Latinos
ASI	Asians
WES	Westside
SFV	San Fernando Valley
CEN	Central Los Angeles (City of LA, including east of Beverly Hills and North of 10 FWY)
SOU	Southern Los Angeles (City of LA, including south of the 10 FWY and San Pedro)

Note

- '–' indicates less than 0.5%

E. In the election for mayor, for whom did you vote?

	<u>ALL</u>
Richard Alarcon	4
James Hahn	24
Bob Hertzberg	22
Bernard C. Parks	13
Antonio R. Villaraigosa	33
Someone else	4

F. When did you make up your mind about how to vote for mayor today?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Weekend/Later (Net)	<u>27</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>27</u>
Yesterday/today	<u>19</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>20</u>
Weekend	<u>8</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>7</u>
Earlier (Net)	<u>73</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>73</u>

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Weekend/Later (Net)	<u>29</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>23</u>
Yesterday/today	<u>20</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>17</u>
Weekend	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>
Earlier (Net)	<u>71</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>77</u>

G. What did you like most about your mayoral candidate? (Up to two replies accepted)

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Has experience to be mayor	<u>29</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>28</u>
Thinks like me on the issues	<u>25</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>30</u>
Has a clear vision for the future	<u>18</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>
Has honesty and integrity	<u>18</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>22</u>
Can bring people together	<u>15</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>8</u>
Cares about my part of the city	<u>14</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>13</u>
Can get things done	<u>12</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>
Will energize the city	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>9</u>
None of the above	<u>5</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Has experience to be mayor	<u>26</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>34</u>
Thinks like me on the issues	<u>31</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>16</u>
Has a clear vision for the future	<u>19</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>19</u>
Has honesty and integrity	<u>18</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>20</u>
Can bring people together	<u>12</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>17</u>
Cares about my part of the city	<u>13</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>18</u>
Can get things done	<u>13</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>9</u>
Will energize the city	<u>16</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>5</u>
None of the above	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>

H. Which of these general issues—if any—were most important to you in deciding how you would vote for mayor today?
(Up to two replies accepted)

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Education	35	34	28	36	25	44	38	32	25
Jobs/The economy	28	36	32	20	27	31	30	23	24
Crime/Gangs	24	12	32	20	41	18	25	23	24
Ethics in city government	19	32	11	22	22	17	17	21	23
Growth/Traffic issues	17	12	13	36	6	13	15	24	21
The environment	8	9	7	7	5	11	8	8	6
Illegal immigration	8	5	5	12	4	4	4	12	19
Race relations	6	—	5	—	14	8	7	4	1
Homelessness/Poverty	5	13	4	3	6	8	7	5	2
Affordable housing	5	4	5	3	4	6	5	6	2
Taxes	5	5	4	8	5	2	4	5	11
None of the above	5	2	10	5	5	4	5	6	6

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Education	32	32	44	23	31	35	35	37
Jobs/The economy	23	34	34	24	26	25	30	33
Crime/Gangs	20	35	27	32	16	23	24	36
Ethics in city government	24	12	12	15	19	24	15	12
Growth/Traffic issues	26	5	7	10	25	21	16	3
The environment	10	4	5	9	13	7	9	3
Illegal immigration	10	4	7	7	9	11	5	4
Race relations	3	14	5	8	7	4	6	10
Homelessness/Poverty	5	7	6	5	5	5	6	5
Affordable housing	4	7	5	5	4	4	6	5
Taxes	6	4	4	7	4	6	5	3
None of the above	6	6	2	7	8	4	6	5

L. If your candidate for mayor were not running today, who would be your second choice?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Richard Alarcon	17	12	11	9	8	33	19	15	11
James Hahn	15	12	5	17	24	17	15	12	13
Bob Hertzberg	15	14	20	1	11	21	14	14	19
Bernard C. Parks	16	12	19	17	9	15	15	18	17
Antonio R. Villaraigosa	29	42	36	44	38	10	31	30	23
Someone else	8	8	9	12	10	4	6	11	17

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Richard Alarcon	12	7	36	14	16	17	24	10
James Hahn	15	20	13	16	16	13	13	20
Bob Hertzberg	20	8	10	12	17	16	18	7
Bernard C. Parks	14	23	12	12	12	16	14	21
Antonio R. Villaraigosa	30	33	24	38	31	29	24	33
Someone else	9	9	5	8	8	9	7	9

M. What is your impression of Richard Alarcon?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>61</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>47</u>
Very favorable	<u>16</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>9</u>
Somewhat favorable	<u>45</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>38</u>
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>39</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>53</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	<u>28</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>36</u>
Very unfavorable	<u>11</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>17</u>

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>57</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>55</u>
Very favorable	<u>13</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>13</u>
Somewhat favorable	<u>44</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>42</u>
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>43</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>45</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	<u>32</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>31</u>
Very unfavorable	<u>11</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>14</u>

N. What is your impression of James K. Hahn?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>48</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>45</u>
Very favorable	<u>19</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>
Somewhat favorable	<u>29</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>27</u>
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>52</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>55</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	<u>28</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>23</u>
Very unfavorable	<u>24</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>32</u>

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>43</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>57</u>
Very favorable	<u>14</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>25</u>
Somewhat favorable	<u>29</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>33</u>
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>57</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>43</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	<u>31</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>23</u>
Very unfavorable	<u>26</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>19</u>

O. What is your impression of Bob Hertzberg?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>58</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>70</u>
Very favorable	22	4	4	70	2	9	18	23	33
Somewhat favorable	36	39	40	29	37	40	36	42	37
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>42</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>30</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	26	29	35	–	34	34	29	24	15
Very unfavorable	16	28	21	1	27	17	17	11	15

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>67</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>44</u>
Very favorable	31	8	9	17	19	31	15	9
Somewhat favorable	37	34	38	38	36	34	41	35
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>33</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>56</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	21	33	32	30	33	20	27	32
Very unfavorable	11	25	21	15	12	15	17	24

P. What is your impression of Bernard C. Parks?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>58</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>54</u>
Very favorable	20	9	11	10	84	11	22	19	17
Somewhat favorable	37	45	38	39	13	45	37	35	37
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>42</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>46</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	27	27	30	32	2	30	27	29	28
Very unfavorable	16	19	21	19	1	14	14	17	18

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>50</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>73</u>
Very favorable	12	54	16	11	12	18	15	43
Somewhat favorable	38	26	41	48	36	39	40	29
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>50</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>27</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	33	12	25	26	34	27	28	17
Very unfavorable	17	8	18	15	18	16	17	11

Q. What is your impression of Antonio R. Villaraigosa?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>71</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>48</u>
Very favorable	37	23	13	13	15	84	45	30	14
Somewhat favorable	34	50	45	44	49	14	34	33	34
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>29</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>52</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	15	11	24	22	19	1	11	18	25
Very unfavorable	14	16	18	21	17	1	10	19	27

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>68</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>78</u>
Very favorable	31	28	66	19	36	32	44	42
Somewhat favorable	37	45	17	49	35	35	30	36
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>32</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>22</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	17	14	7	18	15	17	12	12
Very unfavorable	15	13	10	14	14	16	14	10

R. Do you approve or disapprove of the way James K. Hahn is handling his job as mayor?

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Approve (Net)	<u>45</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>41</u>
Approve strongly	12	2	42	–	3	5	13	11	11
Approve somewhat	33	37	55	17	27	31	34	29	30
Disapprove (Net)	<u>55</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>59</u>
Disapprove somewhat	31	33	3	44	32	41	31	35	28
Disapprove strongly	24	28	–	39	38	23	22	25	31

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Approve (Net)	<u>39</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>54</u>
Approve strongly	8	12	18	39	9	10	15	19
Approve somewhat	31	32	36	38	39	29	33	35
Disapprove (Net)	<u>61</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>46</u>
Disapprove somewhat	35	29	26	14	31	30	34	27
Disapprove strongly	26	27	20	9	21	31	18	19

S. Which, if any, of these actions taken by James K. Hahn played a role in your vote for mayor today? (Up to two replies accepted)

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Decision to appoint William Bratton to replace Bernard Parks as police chief	28	13	48	8	55	19	30	21	24
Campaign against secession of the San Fernando Valley and Hollywood from the city	31	35	42	43	19	18	27	33	42
Neither of these played a role	51	57	32	53	37	67	53	56	44

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Decision to appoint William Bratton to replace Bernard Parks as police chief	22	48	25	36	28	23	27	41
Campaign against secession of the San Fernando Valley and Hollywood from the city	37	20	25	25	21	49	19	17
Neither of these played a role	53	39	56	51	62	39	64	48

T. How much of a role did the candidates' honesty and integrity play in your choice for mayor? did it play:

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
A big role	58	75	50	56	69	57	58	55	60
Somewhat of a role	32	20	42	33	20	33	33	36	30
Not too much of a role	6	4	4	7	6	6	5	5	6
No role at all	4	1	4	4	5	4	4	4	4

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
A big role	56	59	62	59	53	62	54	60
Somewhat of a role	35	30	29	30	37	30	36	27
Not too much of a role	6	6	4	7	6	5	6	7
No role at all	3	5	5	4	4	3	4	6

U. Do you think things in the city of Los Angeles are generally:

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Going in the right direction	47	33	85	29	29	45	49	42	41
Seriously off on the wrong track	53	67	15	71	71	55	51	58	59

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Going in the right direction	44	39	53	78	50	41	56	48
Seriously off on the wrong track	56	61	47	22	50	59	44	52

V. When it comes to crime, do you think over the last four years the city has:

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Gotten safer	30	18	54	23	12	28	31	27	28
Gotten less safe	21	24	10	23	41	19	21	15	25
Stayed about the same	49	58	36	54	47	53	48	58	47

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Gotten safer	31	19	29	47	38	25	36	24
Gotten less safe	19	30	24	15	13	26	16	28
Stayed about the same	50	51	47	38	49	49	48	48

EE. Local and federal prosecutors are investigating whether city contracts were awarded for political contributions to Hahn's campaigns. Hahn denies the allegations. These allegations:

	<u>ALL</u>	<u>ALA</u>	<u>HAH</u>	<u>HER</u>	<u>PAR</u>	<u>VIL</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>
Played a role in my vote for mayor	43	58	16	55	43	53	43	42	46
Did not play a role in my vote for mayor	57	42	84	45	57	47	57	58	54

	<u>WHT</u>	<u>BLK</u>	<u>LAT</u>	<u>ASI</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>SFV</u>	<u>CEN</u>	<u>SOU</u>
Played a role	47	34	45	43	40	49	44	32
Did not play a role	53	66	55	57	60	51	56	68

Methodology

The Los Angeles Times Poll interviewed 2,789 voters as they left 50 polling places across Los Angeles during voting hours. Precincts were chosen based on the pattern of turnout in past citywide elections. The survey was a self-administered, confidential questionnaire. The margin of sampling error for percentages based on the entire sample is plus or minus 2 percentage points; for some subgroups the error margin may be somewhat higher. Because the survey does not include absentee voters or those who declined to participate when approached, demographic estimates by the interviewers were used to adjust the sample slightly and the sample was weighted to reflect the outcome. Questionnaires were available to voters in English and Spanish. Interviews at the precincts level were conducted by Davis Research of Calabasas. Raphael J. Sonenshein, political scientist at Cal State Fullerton, was a political consultant to the Times Poll.